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03:00 review action on sigma matrices;

table of how sigma matrices affect up/down states

| Sigma |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| operations on up/down |  |  |
| $\sigma 1\|u\rangle$ | $+1\|d\rangle$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ |
| $\sigma 1\|d\rangle$ | $+1\|u\rangle$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ |
| $\sigma 2\|u\rangle$ | $+i\|d\rangle$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & -i \\ i & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ i\end{array}\right]$ |
| $\sigma 2\|d\rangle$ | $-i\|u\rangle$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & -i \\ i & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}-i \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ |
| $\sigma 3\|u\rangle$ | $+1\|u\rangle$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ |
| $\sigma 3\|d\rangle$ | $-1\|d\rangle$ | $\left[\begin{array}{rr}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ -1\end{array}\right]$ |

[^0]review expectation value:
a vector state of the system you wish to measure;
M matrix of observables;
<a|M|a> the measure of whether vector state a is observed at $M$
say we have <a| $\sigma 3 \mid a>$ where <a| is the state "up" (<10|) and $\sigma 3$ is the $z$-axis observable.
1-to measure whether an electron is in the "up" (<10|) state; place a magnet with "N" pointing "up along the $z$-axis".
2-if an photon (i.e. energy) is emitted the electron has moved to the "up along the z-axis" state; $3-<a|\sigma 3| a>$ is a measure of the expected or average value; $|<a| \sigma 3|a>|^{2}$ is the probability.
$\{\langle u d|+\langle d u|\} \sigma 3\{|u d\rangle+|d u\rangle\}$
$\sigma 3$ changes $\mid d u>$ to $-\mid d u>$ leaving: $\{\langle u d|+\langle d u|\}\{|u d\rangle-|d u\rangle\}$

mismatched terms are zero;
$<u d \mid u d>=1$; $<d u \mid-d u>$ is -1 ; sum is zero
in an entangled state the expectation value of any sigma operator is 0
assume a 2 electron entangled state and are measuring the spin "up" or "down". with the entanglement when one electron is in the "up" state the other will be in the "down" state. Remember the "up","down" states do not refer to any absolute direction but refer to where the direction "North" of the magnet.
measuring "up" is a combined state of electron 1 being "up" or electron 2 being "up";
$$
a=\{u d\}+\{d u\}
$$
rotate our $z$-axis so that $z+$ is the magnets north - and consequently use sigma3 as the observable. Note we have 2 observables which we will call $\sigma 3$ for electron 1 and $\tau 3$ for electron 2 .

The bra ket equation for the expected or average value of measurable 1 is:

$$
\{<u d|+<d u|\} \sigma 3\{|u d>+| d u>\}
$$

we apply the $\sigma 3$ operator to the right term. Check table above remembering $\sigma 3$ only operates on the first electron, i.e. $\sigma 3 \mid u d>$ is $\mid u d>$ and $\sigma 3|d u\rangle$ is minus $\mid d u>$ :
$\{\langle u d|+\langle d u|\}\{|u d>-| d u>\}$
expanding this we get 4 terms (to be added together;
(1)<u d|ud> = $1 \quad$ dot product of 2 identical "unit" vectors;
(2) $-<u d \mid d u>=0 \quad$ vectors are orthogonal, dot product is zero;
$(3)<d u \mid u d>=0 \quad$ vectors are orthogonal, dot product is zero;
(4) $-\langle d u| d u>=-1 \quad$ dot product of 2 identical "unit" vectors
the sum is zero, therefore the expected or average value is zero.
This means that the expected value of one electron in a two electron entangled state is always " $50 \%$ up" or " $50 \%$ down".
expansion in matrx or linear algebra form:

$$
\left\{\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right|+\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right|\right\}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]\left\{\left|\binom{1}{0}\binom{0}{1}\right\rangle+\left|\binom{0}{1}\binom{1}{0}\right\rangle\right\}
$$

appplying operator on the first electron, right hand term:

$$
\left\{\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right|+\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right|\right\}\left\{\left|\binom{1}{0}\binom{0}{1}\right\rangle-\left|\binom{0}{1}\binom{1}{0}\right\rangle\right\}
$$

expansion: (terms to be added, split because they overflow the page width)
1 〈(1
$\langle(1 \quad 0)(0$

1) $\left.\binom{1}{0}\binom{0}{1}\right\rangle$
$2-\langle(1$
$(1 \quad 0)(0$
2) $\left.\binom{0}{1}\binom{1}{0}\right\rangle$
$3+\langle(0$
3) (1
4) $\left|\binom{1}{0}\binom{0}{1}\right\rangle$
4
$\langle(0 \quad 1)(1$
$0)\left|\binom{0}{1}\binom{1}{0}\right\rangle$
calculating the dot products. not quite linear algebra - we apply <bra|ket> for each electron separately:

$$
=\quad(1 * 1+0 * 0)\left(0 * 0+1^{*} 1\right)-(1 * 0+0 * 1)(0 * 1+1 * 0)+\left(0 * 1+1^{*} 0\right)(1 * 0+0 * 1)-(0 * 0+1 * 1)(1 * 1+0 * 0)
$$

$$
=\quad 1-0+0-1=0
$$

## The Dirac <bra|ket> equation is identical but much easier than linear algebra

the expectation value for all sigma observable directions is zero (50\% up, 50\% down)
substitute any sigma observable and you get the sam result, i.e. use $\tau 2$ ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ electron along $y$-axis $) \quad \tau 2=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & -i \\ i & 0\end{array}\right]$
$\{<u d|+<d u|\} \tau 2\{|u d>+| d u\rangle\}$
$\{<u d|+<d u|\}\{-i|u d>+i| d u>\}$
you get the result $(-i+0+0+i)=0$

14:00 which + or - is an eigenvector of the entangled state - the singlet state
we want to find which state of the entangled system (|ud> $|d u\rangle$ ) leads to an eigenvector, i.e. $M|a\rangle=$ $\lambda \mid a>$
test the expectation value for any combination of operators ( $\sigma$ ? $+\tau$ ?)
( $\sigma$ ? $+\tau$ ? ) ( |u d>-|du>) always gives zero;
$(\sigma ?+\tau ?)(|u d>+| d u>)$ does not.
This means that ( $|u d>-| d u\rangle)$ (with the minus sign) has an expectation value of zero - and is an eigenvalue of the entangled operator ( $\sigma$ ? $+\tau$ ?). thus: ( $|u d>-| d u\rangle$ ) is called the singlet state, an eigen vector. The state $(|u d\rangle+|d u\rangle)$ is called the triplet state.
singlet state: |u d>-|du>
a singlet usually refers to a one-dimensional representation (e.g. a particle with vanishing spin. All $\sigma$ correlations are zero.
(say, $\sigma 3$ operates on one electron; $\tau 3$ operates on the other):

```
we split the operator {\sigma3+\tau3} as per commutative rule:
    {\sigma3+\tau3}{|u d>-|d u>}=\sigma3{|u d>-|d u>} + \tau3{|u d>-|d u>}
\sigma3{|u d>-|du>} + \tau3{|u d>-|d u>}={|u d>+|du>} + {-|u d>-|du>}=0
```

```
\sigma1{|u d>-|d u>} + \tau1{|u d>-|d u>}={|d d>+|u u>} + {-|u u> -|d d>}=0
\sigma2{|u d>-|d u>} + \tau2{|u d>-|d u>}={-i|d d>+i|u u>}+{-i|u u>+i|d d>}=0
```

also, any vector spin zero as all $\sigma$ components are zero:

$$
\{\sigma 2+\tau 2\}\{n\}=0
$$

triplet state: |ud>+|du>
calculate, as above the $\{\sigma 1+\tau 1\}\{|u d>+| d u>\}$

```
\sigma1{|u d>+|d u>} + t1{|u d>+|d u>}={|d d>-|u u>}+{-|u u> +|d d>}=2|d d>-1|u u>
```


## 28:00 Bell's Theorem (a classical probability theorem)

QM QE violates Bell's Theorem
30:00
Any classical theory obeys set theory logic. given 3 states $(A, B, C)$ then the classical set eq. is true.

$$
\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{~A} \cap \sim \mathrm{~B})+\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{~B} \bigcap^{\sim} \mathrm{C}\right) \geq \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{~A} \bigcap^{\sim} \mathrm{C}\right)
$$

the number of elements in ( A and not B ) plus the number of elements ...
a "visual proof" Bell's theory holds for classical set theory

A,B,C enclosing 3 regions,
$\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 2$, etc. are number of elements in labelled regions
$\begin{array}{ll}A \cap^{\sim} B & =N 1+N 2 \\ B \cap^{\sim} C & =N 7+N 4 \\ A \cap^{\sim} C & =N 1+N 4 \\ N\left(A \cap^{\sim} B\right)+N\left(B \cap^{\sim} C\right) \geq N\left(A \bigcap^{\sim} C\right) \\ N 1+N 2+N 4+N 7 \geq N 1+N 4 \quad \text { (equal only if } N 2+N 7=0, \text { otherwise greater) }\end{array}$

In classical probabilities, N (number) corresponds to number of elements in the selected set.
If $M 3=N\{A \cup B U C\} ; N(M 3 \cap(A \cap \sim B))+N(M 3 \cap(B \cap \sim C))>N\left(M 3 \cap\left(A \cap^{\sim} C\right)\right), \geq N(B \cap C)=0$

In quantum probabilities, N corresponds to vector space.

## 38:00 Bell's Theorem not true in entangled state

Take 2 electrons, singlet state, 1 spin only.
A = 1up along $0^{\circ}$ angle $z$-axis
$B=1$ up along $45^{\circ}$ angle
$\mathrm{C}=1$ up along $90^{\circ}$ angle
~B => 2up along $45^{\circ}$
${ }^{\sim} \mathrm{C}=>$ 2up along $90^{\circ}$
(i.e. other spin in opposite direction). That is, the negative of one electron spin direction is the positive of the other electron spin:
i.e. if $1^{\text {st }}$ is down, then $2^{\text {nd }}$ is up.
this allows us to always express a "down" state of one electron as an "up" state of the other.

$\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ states defn. above
Note: the sigma operator for electron 2 , up $45^{\circ}$ is $(\tau 1+\tau 1)$ - normalized by $(1 / v 2)$. The value on the chart $((\tau 1+\tau 3) / \sqrt{ } 2+1) / 2$ is the projection operator for 2 , up $45^{\circ}$. ( $\sqrt{ } 2$ hypotenus side of unit vector $45^{\circ}$ triangle)

40:00 reform Bell's theorem:
$N(A \cap \sim B)+N\left(B \cap^{\sim} C\right) \geq N\left(A \cap^{\sim} C\right)$
$N(A \cap \sim B)=(A, \sim B)=N\left(1 u p 0^{\circ}, 2\right.$ up $\left.45^{\circ}\right) \quad \sim B$ is the same as $2^{\text {nd }}$ electron up at $45^{\circ}$
$N(B \cap \sim C)=(B, \sim C)=N\left(1\right.$ up $45^{\circ}, 2$ up $\left.90^{\circ}\right) \sim C$ is the same as $2^{\text {nd }}$ electron up at $90^{\circ}$
as previously discussed the probability is not affected by rotation. The state $(\mathrm{B}, \sim \mathrm{C})$ is the same as $(\mathrm{A}, \sim \mathrm{B})$ under rotation of $45^{\circ}$ so our equation becomes:
$2^{*} \mathrm{~N}\left(1\right.$ up $0^{\circ}, 2$ up $\left.45^{\circ}\right) \geq \mathrm{N}\left(1\right.$ up $0^{\circ}, 2$ up $\left.90^{\circ}\right)$

## 45:00 Calculate sigma projection operators

Require projection operators to calculate probability (i.e. Number) of $\mathrm{N}\left(1 \mathrm{up} 0^{\circ}, 2 \mathrm{up} 45^{\circ}\right)$. vectors are orthogonal so operator is (10)
defn: a Projection operator projects a vector in $n+m$ vector space to the $n$ vector sub-space. i.e. given a vector $a=\{a 1 \mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{a} 3$ ) in 3D space project to 2 D space:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{a} 1 \\
\mathrm{a} 2 \\
\mathrm{a} 3
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{a} 1 \\
\mathrm{a} 2 \\
0
\end{array}\right)} \\
& \mathbb{P}_{12}|\mathrm{a}>=| \mathrm{a}_{12}>
\end{aligned}
$$

we are looking for a projection that projects vectors of observables (i.e. spin) to the sigma axis.
$\mathbb{P n}=$ projection operator for $\sigma_{n}$ in the "up" state
$\mathbb{P}_{03+1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ operator to project "up along z-axis" state.
given a state vector $\mid \alpha \beta>$ the projection to $\sigma 3$ is $\mathbb{P}_{03+1}|\alpha \beta\rangle=|\alpha 0\rangle$ we can write as $\mathbb{P}_{\sigma 3+1}$, or simply $\mathbb{P} 3$ in a more general form: $\mathbb{P} 3=(\sigma 3+1) / 2$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \div 2
$$

the same is true for all sigma operators. The projection operator is defn. as:

$$
\mathbb{P n}=|\sigma n,+><\sigma n,+|
$$

which is the product of the "up" eigenvector of on with its adjoint:
P3 $\quad\binom{1}{0}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$
$\mathbb{P} 2$

$$
\binom{1}{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & -i
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -i \\
i & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

P1 $\quad\binom{1}{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$
Note: the $\sigma 1$ and $\sigma 1$ eigenvectors need to be normalized by dividing by ( $1 / \sqrt{ } 2$ ), the matrix, of course by $1 / 2$
calculating the $(\sigma n+1) / 2$ projection operators:
$\mathbb{P} 2 \quad\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & -i \\ i & 0\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & -i \\ i & 1\end{array}\right] \div 2$
$\mathbb{P} 1 \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right] \div 2$

55:00 alternate definition of probability using projection operators
$\langle\varphi| \mathbb{P}|\varphi\rangle \quad$ expectation value of the projection operator
if you have a state, $\varphi$, the probability of that state is the projection operator on that state.
---- the expectation value is the probability.
the probability of $\mathrm{z}+$ state is

$$
\langle\alpha \beta| \frac{\sigma 3+I}{2}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}=\langle\alpha \beta|\binom{\alpha}{0}=\alpha^{*} \alpha
$$

$(\{\tau 1+\tau 3) v 2+1) / 2$
$\{\alpha\}$
$\tau 1[a b]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}b & a\end{array}\right.$
$\tau 3[a b]=[a-b]$

57:00 summary of Bell's theorem, so far
-1- classical probabilities correspond to subset of a set
-2- quantum probabilities correspond to subset of a vector space
state formula:
$2^{*} N\left(1 u p 0^{\circ}, 2 u p 45^{\circ}\right) \geq N\left(1 u p 0^{\circ}, 2 u p 90^{\circ}\right)$
(the $(B, \sim C)$ same as $(A, \sim B)$ under rotation of $\left.45^{\circ}\right)$
$2^{*} \mathrm{~N}\left(1 \mathrm{up} 0^{\circ}, 2 \mathrm{up} 45^{\circ}\right)$ is a product of individual projection operators
1 -first take $\{(\sigma 3+1) / 2\}$ then multiply by $\{((\tau 1+\tau 3) / \sqrt{ } 2+1) / 2\}$
we use the quantum singlet state of a pair of entangled electrons:
$(|u d>-| d u>) / v 2$

## 60:00 Tau component. (V2 hypotenus side of unit vector $45^{\circ}$ triangle)

63:00 this corresponds to $A \alpha \bigcap^{\sim} B \alpha$ or $A \alpha \bigcap B \tau$
$\sigma$ term is projection operator for $90^{\circ}$
$1 u p 0^{\circ}$ projection operator is $(\sigma 3+1) / 2$
$\tau$ term is projection operator for $45^{\circ}$
2 up $45^{\circ}$ projection operator is $((\tau 1+\tau 3) / v 2+1) / 2$
$\tau$ spin operator is unit vector between $1 \& 3$
normalized ( $\tau 1+\tau 3$ )/V2
generalized as any operator $(\tau n+1) / 2$
||ud>-|du> is the singlet state
singlet state on the left is complex conjugated to get amplitude

$$
\langle\operatorname{singlet}| \frac{\sigma 3+I}{2}\left\{\frac{\tau 1+\tau 3}{2 \sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\right\}\left\{\frac{|u d\rangle-|d u\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right\}
$$

Note: $\sigma$ and $\tau$ components are multiplied (corresponds to $\bigcap$ )

64:00 calculations:
(1) $\sigma 3+1\{10\}$ component kills |d $u>$ state; which leaves:
$\langle\operatorname{singlet}| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{\frac{\tau 1+\tau 3}{2 \sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\right\}|u d\rangle \quad$ note the $\tau$ operates on second entry d
$\tau 1$ flips down to up (d -> u); giving |u u>.
But on the left hand side there is no |uu> state so $\tau 1$ gives something completely orthogonal. so we can remove the $\tau 1$ operator,leaving:

$$
\langle\operatorname{singlet}| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{\frac{\tau 3}{2 \sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\right\}|u d\rangle
$$

t3 |ud> gives $-1(\{1-1\} \mid u\{01\}>)$; leaving just a number:

$$
\left\{\frac{\langle u d|-\langle d u|}{\sqrt{2}}\right\} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{\frac{-1}{2 \sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\right\}|u d\rangle
$$

67:00 expanding the singlet state on the LH term
$\mid d u>$ won't contribute because it is orthogonal to $|u d\rangle$
inner product of $<u d \mid$ with $\mid d u>$ is 1

- (inner product of any unit vector with itself)

69:00 so we are left with just numbers. Note the LHS is double ( $2^{*} N\left(1 u p 0^{\circ}, 2 u p 45^{\circ}\right)$ )
$\mathrm{LHS}=\quad 2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{\frac{-1}{2 \sqrt{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\right\}=0.15$
review: probability
\{singlet state\} \{projection operator\} \{singlet state\}
(\{<ud|-<du|\}/v2) \{projection operator\} (\{<ud|-<du|\}/v2)
69:00 calculate R.H.Side: $\quad \mathrm{N}\left(1 \mathrm{up} 0^{\circ}, 2 \mathrm{up} 90^{\circ}\right)$
1 up $0^{\circ}$ is $\sigma 3$ on electron one; (+ on $z$-axis)
$2 u p 90^{\circ}$ is $\tau 1$ on electron two; (+ on $x$-axis)

$$
\left\{\frac{\langle u d|-\langle d u|}{\sqrt{2}}\right\} \frac{\sigma 3+I}{2} \frac{\tau 1+I}{2}\left\{\frac{|u d\rangle-|d u\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right\}
$$

applying the operator to the RH term:
$-1-\sigma 3+1\{10\}$ term gets rid of $\mid d u>$ and leaves $\mid u d>$ as-is;
$-2-\tau 1$ changes $\mid u d>$ to $\mid u u>$ but there is no $\mid u u>$ on LH term, so that term is eliminated leaving, again just numbers:
RHS $=\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}=0.25$
But obviously .15 is not $\geq$. 25; so Bell's inequality is violated.

89:00 proof you cannot clone a quantum system
---no notes, best to listen



[^0]:    entangled states of 2 electrons. if one is up the other is down $|u d\rangle \pm|d u\rangle$ entangled states of 2 electrons
    
    in either of these states the expectation value is zero ( $\langle\mathrm{a}| \mathrm{M}|\mathrm{a}\rangle=0$ )

